



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,910	05/30/2006	Thomas Robert Cowling	COWLING-15814	6883
25628 LAW OFFICE	7590 09/28/2007 S OF WILLIAM H. HOLT	EXAMINER		
12311 HARBOR DRIVE			PEDDER, DENNIS H	
WOODBRIDGE, VA 22192			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/580,910	COWLING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a r vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 May 2006</u> .						
,—	, <del></del>					
,—	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) dobjected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document:</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document:</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/15/2007.	Paper No(	Gummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/580,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the trays with no gap between adjacent areas of padding, claim 8, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Apel.

Apel has the seat with side members 2 and 5, hinge 12 at its top edge, support 6, and front panel 23 hingedly connected at 22. Apel discloses board construction, with extruded

Application/Control Number: 10/580,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

boards being common knowledge in the art at the time of the alleged invention. Further, "extruded" is a process step, not given patentable weight in a product claim (MPEP 2113).

Applicant may seasonally challenge, for the official record in this application, this and any other statement of judicial notice in timely manner in response to this office action. Please specify the exact statement to be challenged. Applicant is reminded, with respect to the specific challenge put forth, of the duty of disclosure under Rule 56 to disclose material which is pertinent to patentability including claim rejections challenged by applicant.

As to claim 2, the locating member 27 is attached to the floor via the intervening bulkheads 2 and 5.

As to claim 7, see the above discussion regarding "extruded".

6. Claim 2 is further rejected and claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apel in view of Demick.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Apel a floor mounted locating member 80 as taught by Demick in order to positively position the seat.

As to claim 3, Apel has seal 24 at the lower edge of the front panel.

7. Claims 4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apel or Apel in view of Demick as applied to claims 1 and 3 above.

Hinge seals are deemed to be of common knowledge in the art to preclude moisture entry and definitely obvious to use here on a seat exposed to the environment.

As to claim 6, strengthening ribs are deemed to be of common knowledge in the art, obvious to use here to increase the strength of the seat.

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apel in view of Suman et al.

Art Unit: 3612

Apel has tray 14. Sidewalls on a seat tray are notoriously old in the art and obvious to use here to locate the padding. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Apel side walls to the tray as is deemed to be known in this art and juxtaposed padding as taught by Suman et al. in order to provide a continuous seating surface.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 9. disclosure. Menne is cited to show an strut assisted hinged seat.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. Dennis H. Pedder

9/26/07

Application/Control Number: 10/580,910

Art Unit: 3612

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

DHP 9/26/2007